

WORSE THAN YOU REALIZE

Fr. Perozich comments —

Dr. Benjamin Wicker's articles below show how those men who have acceded to power in the church today and in the past decades have become corrupted by the culture they were ordained to conquer for Jesus Christ. Bishops are to pass on the truth of the faith revealed and commanded by the Lord Jesus. These truths, preached and accepted, transform the world into God's creation as he intended it to be.

What do we hear now?

From my pope ordained to unify the church in this message, "Who am I to judge?" in order to support his decision to promote one of the many AH/HA (activist homosexual/homosexual activists) to the papal household; appointment of James Martin to the committee on communication from the Vatican to promote homosexuality around the world; continued use of Thomas Rosica to promote a right of pope Francis to depart from scripture and tradition for worldly values; ignoring scandals in Chile until the outcry even from government forced his hand; teaching his personal agenda that prudential issues such as refugee, open borders, economy, environment, are just as important as life/abortion, marriage/sexuality, euthanasia, embryonic stem cell research, human cloning; ignoring the nuncios' careful spiritual evaluation of candidates for the episcopacy and cardinalate and instead appointment of lock step men who would promote a worldly agenda:

Cardinal Cupich in Chicago whose new conscience definition allows communion for anyone who wrongly uses conscience to justify any behavior as good;

Bishop Stokes in Lexington Kentucky who is promoting homosexuality, although condemned by the scripture, in his diocese and parishes;

various Vatican cardinals favorable to pederasty and homosexuality, McCarrick being the only one so far who has been exposed;

Bishop McElroy in San Diego who refers to the need to promote Francis' agenda as described above and who celebrates homosexuality among other things.

There are others.

The church doctrine is being deconstructed by pastoral methods which undermine Jesus' teaching such as false conscience, right to sexual expression, no need to repent or convert.

Priests are very controlled by canon law, and even Archbishop Viganò may face sanctions by the same law for his truth.

I, a mere simple parish priest, only was told not to publish articles in my bulletin and that my teachings were not Catholic because they did not go along with the new socialist agenda for the deconstruction of the church, page 4 <http://richardperozich.com/wp-content/uploads/2016/10/346150BUL161030.pdf>

Fr. Thomas Weinandy, in contrast a brilliant theologian, made a filial appeal to the Holy Father and was forced to resign as theologian to the USCCB.

Fr. Frank Phillips of the Chicago Society of St. John Cantius was accused of sexual abuse with adults, exonerated, and then forced to leave the archdiocese. Ironically a Cupich appointed judicial vicar had sex in a car in Miami with another priest in broad daylight thus requiring the Cardinal who promoted him to remove him.

Fr. Jack Harrington in Massachusetts fought the lavender mafia in seminary and in the diocese, and now is not allowed to wear the collar.

Fr. James Haley of Arlington, VA brought misconduct to the attention of the diocese. He still is out of active ministry 15 years later to the best of my knowledge.

Archbishop Carlo Viganò may face sanctions for speaking the truth.

Nothing will stop these men in power from uniting the church with the world views in the current political climate; nothing other than intervention by Jesus Christ perhaps through financial, judicial, and political pressure and continued outcry from a laity that will not accept their episcopal revolution, but continue the fight through protest, prayer, fasting, and trying to live themselves the life through, with and in Jesus Christ.

From a Moral-Historical Perspective, This Crisis is Worse Than You Realize

There is nothing, nothing, that undermines the authority of the Church more quickly and thoroughly than this devilish marriage of scandal and hypocrisy.

[Benjamin Wiker](#)

Contrary to Cardinal Donald Wuerl's early and oft-quoted assessment, the Catholic Church is in fact facing a "massive, massive crisis." Greater clarity about the nature of this crisis can be had by looking at the larger moral-historical perspective.

There is only one reason why pedophilia is even a moral issue today: historically, the Catholic Church made it one. Sex with boys and girls, but especially boys, was an accepted part of ancient Greek and Roman culture, the culture into which Christ Himself, and hence the Church, was born. Christianity rejected this common pagan sexual practice as a distortion of sexuality, and evangelized accordingly. If it were not for the success of Christianity's evangelical efforts, the laws against pedophilia still on the books today would never have been there at all.

To give this historical sketch some important details, the most desirable age of men seeking sex with boys in ancient Greece and Rome was the 12-18 year old range,

when the boys were blossoming into sexual maturity on their way to becoming men. In short, homosexual activity was defined primarily by pedophilia. There were no artificial distinctions between homosexuality, pedophilia, ephebophilia (sex with someone between 12-14) and hebephilia (sex with someone 15-18). There was simply the culturally commonplace desire of men to have sex with boys from ages 12-18.

Moreover, pedophilia with boys was not confined to a few perverted individuals with exclusively homosexual orientation. The great majority of men engaged in it as an accepted part of Greco-Roman culture, whether they were (as we would designate them) homosexual or heterosexual. Thus, pedophilia was not a moral issue, but a cultural practice engaged in by most men. (This is an important point that I'll take up in a future article, because it means that our current attempt to fix a definite homosexual "percentage" in the population, say 2 percent or 10 percent, doesn't take into account that homosexuality and pedophilia can spread to the majority through a deformed culture.)

That was precisely the situation in ancient pagan Greece and Rome. Then came Christ. Christianity made pedophilia a moral issue. As Christianity slowly evangelized the pagan Roman Empire, the widespread acceptance of men having sex with boys was replaced by widespread moral revulsion (and the appearance of anti-pedophilia laws that followed upon it). The same is true as well for homosexuality, sexual slavery, abortion, infanticide and euthanasia. They became moral issues,

rather than accepted pagan social practices, only because of Christian evangelization.

Here are the lessons we must learn from this history.

The sole reason that there are still secular laws on the books that prohibit and punish pedophilia is that Christianity came to dominate culture in the West through evangelization. The only reason that we have accepted homosexuality in culture and in law is the increasing de-Christianization of the culture in the West. As we become even more secularized (i.e., repaganized), pedophilia will soon be accepted, just as homosexuality, abortion, infanticide, and euthanasia have already been embraced.

This is a massive, massive crisis in and for the Church because a deeply-embedded worldwide homosexual network among our priests, bishops, and cardinals is actively engaged in bringing about the full de-Christianization of the world by preying on boys between 12-18, literally recreating Greco-Roman sexual culture in our seminaries and dioceses. If you want to know what it was like in the sordid sexual days of ancient Greece and Rome, just read the Pennsylvania Report.

That's a rather horrible irony, isn't it? The very men most authoritatively charged with the evangelization of all the nations are full-steam ahead bringing about the devangelization of the nations. In doing so, *these priests, bishops, and cardinals at the very heart of the Catholic Church are acting as willing agents of repaganization, undoing 2,000 years of Church History.*

To be even more pointed, these priests, bishops, and cardinals are the *chief* agents of devangelization, de-Christianization, repaganization. There is nothing, *nothing*, that undermines the moral and theological authority of the magisterium more quickly and thoroughly than the devilish marriage of scandal and hypocrisy. It destroys the ability to evangelize.

And note that I say both moral *and* theological. Why should anyone now take *anything* the magisterium has to say seriously, whether it's the Church's teachings about pedophilia and homosexuality, or its teachings on the Most Holy Trinity?

Is that massive, massive enough of a crisis for you, Cardinal Wuerl? Could you imagine it being any more massive?

Unlearning Christianity

A review of Gregory Lukianoff's *Unlearning Liberty: Campus Censorship and the End of American Debate*.

[February 10, 2013 Benjamin Wiker Books 0](#)

[Print](#)



Gregory Lukianoff's [*Unlearning Liberty: Campus Censorship and the End of American Debate*](#) is well worth the read, even with the criticisms I'll be making of it. Lukianoff is a self-declared liberal and atheist, but one who believes in free speech and works tirelessly for it through his Foundation for Individual Rights in Education (FIRE). That makes his book all the more important for Christians—FIRE is not the ACLU. Lukianoff and FIRE are actually working for free speech, rather than, with the ACLU, attacking Christianity at every turn and trying to establish secularism and atheism.

Lukianoff wants fairness, and that brought him to a very interesting realization about who is actually getting treated unfairly on our campuses today. “If you told me twelve years ago,” Lukianoff confides, “that I, a liberal atheist, would devote a sizable portion of my career to defending Christian groups, I might have been surprised. But almost from my first day at FIRE, I was shocked to realize how badly Christian groups were often treated.”

As Lukianoff amply documents, on campuses across the nation persecution is directed at Christians by secular liberals intent upon imposing uniformity in the name of diversity, complete intolerance in the name of tolerance, liberal absolutism in the name of relativism—and all this with identifiably religious zeal in inculcating the far Left's beliefs as orthodoxy.

I know whereof he speaks. Twenty-five years ago I saw it firsthand during my graduate school experience earning

my Ph.D. at Vanderbilt University. Even mild disagreement with the “politically correct” party line was met with hysterical accusations and verbal attacks. Not arguments, mind you. I was informed by one well-indoctrinated young woman that rationality and logic were instruments of male domination, and that she would have no part of them. She was good to her vow, as were her mentors. It was very clear what one was allowed and not allowed to say, and which moral and political positions were considered clean and unclean, and the unclean were not permitted to speak.

My experience was not unusual. The combination of liberal dogmatism backed up by institutional authority is still the rule, not the exception, in academia. And in fact, it has gotten far worse, both on the graduate and even more on the undergraduate level, since I was in school.

Today, for example, incoming students routinely undergo intensive indoctrination during freshman orientation week, and it continues for the rest of the year, administered in regular doses by heavy-handed propagandists in the administration, among the faculty, and through converted students (especially the RA’s that oversee dorm life).

Orientation has become the cleansing doctrinal gate of entry. The goal of such “orientation,” reports Lukianoff, is quite literally “thought reform.” For example, at the University of Delaware the Office of Residence Life introduces incoming students to an imposed speech code forbidding “any instance that is perceived by those involved as being racist,

sexist, anti-Semitic, homophobic, or otherwise oppressive.”

Note: it's clear that Christians are *not* among those whom the U of D is concerned might be offended by anyone else's speech. That's because Christians are the ones implicitly charged with being (among other things) sexist, anti-Semitic, and homophobic.

As Lukianoff points out, the goal of the orientation program in which students are indoctrinated into the worldview behind the speech code is “the interior transformation of the beliefs of all seven thousand students in the University of Delaware dormitories on issues as varied as moral philosophy, environmentalism, tolerance, human rights, and social policy, to make those beliefs conform to a specific political agenda.”

In one of the many excesses of the orientation program, students were forced to engage in a little “exercise,” where they had to “stand along one wall if they supported various social causes, including the right to gay marriage or abortion, and along the other wall if they didn't.” Quite obviously, this exercise “functioned as a state-sponsored public shaming of students with the ‘wrong’ beliefs.” If a Christian dares to say that she opposes gay marriage, she will most definitely be “perceived” as “homophobic” and therefore “oppressive.” The “speech” code is violated, and sanctions begin.

As Lukianoff reveals, the University of Delaware program is considered a model for similar programs at other schools. Thus, these kinds of exercises are not confined to a mere handful of way Left universities, but

occur all across the nation. Lukianoff lists similar imposed “speech codes” at (among others) Colorado State, Drexel University, Ohio State, Saginaw, Bryn Mawr, DePauw, University of Wisconsin, California State.

And just so we are aware of how high the problem reaches, he devotes a whole chapter to Harvard and Yale.

Another popular “exercise” at many of these institutions during the mandated (re)orientation is “The Tunnel of Oppression.” As Lukianoff reports, Georgetown, Clemson, UNC Chapel Hill, Florida State, Ohio State, Michigan all boast one, but as I found out by typing [“Tunnel of Oppression”](#) into Google, there are countless others. Students are typically led through a succession of rooms where they are made to witness mini-dramas—often with student activist-actors screaming at them—all of which is meant to cleanse them of the sins of their heterodox views. The orthodoxy forming the mini-dramas is patently defined by the Left.

But it doesn’t stop with orientation. It goes right into the classrooms, and none too subtly. One Emily Brooker, an Evangelical Christian at Missouri State University, was given a mandatory assignment in class in her freshman year: go out in public and display homosexual behavior, and then write a paper about the experience. In her senior year she was required by a professor, as a class assignment, to write the state legislature advocating adoption for gay foster parents. She was subjected to a closed two-and-a-half-hour interrogation by seven professors when she was deemed irredeemably Christian.

Things get worse. Christians are singled out for persecution; Christianity is routinely profaned. At a Florida community college the Christian Student Fellowship was banned from showing *The Passion of the Christ* (allegedly because of its R rating), even while the administration smiled upon a production on campus that included a skit (with a title too blasphemous for me to include in print) in which the most solitary of sexual acts (to put it as delicately as I can) was aimed at an image of Jesus. Resident Assistants at the University of Wisconsin were barred from holding private Bible studies in their own room, even while other RAs were applauded for putting on the infamously vulgar *Vagina Monologues*. The Christian Legal Association was banned from the University of California and at Vanderbilt, and Christian sororities and fraternities are no longer allowed at San Diego State University

In reading Lukianoff's various accounts of imposed political correctness, the totalitarian manipulations in George Orwell's *1984* come to mind. College bureaucrats are in the forefront of imposing liberalism on campus, as the case of the Association for Student Conduct Administration (ASCA), the lead umbrella group for academic administrators overseeing discipline, demonstrates. ASCA has devised a model program that allows the meddlers from above to persecute infractions that were not previously punishable under university regulations. A vaguely accused student must sit one-on-one with an administrator for four sessions in order to learn to "take accountability" for what he's done. The

student must write down what he thinks he's done, but the administrator won't accept the student's account until he gets it "right." The student must draft and redraft until his will is broken and he admits the offense as defined by the administrator. The student, by the way, has to pay actual money for the privilege of these four sessions of humiliation.

Lukianoff exactly captures the spirit of the ASCA's model program. "Like the famous scene in *1984* in which Winston is forced to say he sees five fingers when his interrogator is holding up four, you would complete the program only when you described your behavior using the exact (strained and strange) language the program wanted you to use."

Lukianoff's book is helpful for getting a full smack of what's really going on at our universities, but the real problem, or at least the deepest problem, is not *Unlearning Liberty* but unlearning Christianity. As dual sign of this is both the deep anti-Christian bias pervading our universities *and* the evangelical zeal in promoting the entire secular liberal worldview. The deep bias against Christianity reveals an important historical truth: secularism is not neutral—the mere subtraction of religion—but, as we learn from its history, a worldview formed specifically *against* Christianity. The evangelical zeal of the secular liberals reveals that we are dealing with what really amounts to another religion.

We cannot comprehend what's going on at our universities, or in our culture, without grasping this dual connection. The problem, for the Left, is not religion as

such. As Lukianoff points out several times, students are continually drilled on the evils of anti-Semitism—and that is all to the good. But surprisingly, they also compelled to heartily affirm Islam (under the aegis of tolerance and diversity), even though Islam so often manifests a thoroughgoing anti-Semitism, is certainly more “sexist” than anything these students have experienced, and is most decidedly no friend of American-style gay rights. One cannot imagine, for example, a vulgar sexual send-up directed against Mohammed being tolerated. Jewish and Muslim groups are passionately protected—and again, that’s all to the good.

But Christianity? You can say anything against it, profane it in any way, and trace the evils of the world to its door.

So, it isn’t religion that bothers the reigning secular liberalism on campus, but Christianity. It isn’t liberty that is being unlearned, at least not directly. The curtailing of speech is largely directed specifically at Christianity—its worldview and its moral codes. But you are at liberty to say anything you want about Christianity. Further, there is the proper religious liberty offered to Jews and Muslims, the respectful protection that allows them to live according to their faith without attack or belittlement. But as Lukianoff shows, this same religious liberty is, more and more, denied to Christians.

It is Christianity that is being unlearned at our universities—not just removed, but ridiculed; not just ignored, but demonized. That is deeply ironic, given one

very amazing fact: it was the Catholic Church that invented the university.

Sexual pollution is a scientific—and destructive—fact

Our sexual environment is about as polluted as China's air, and the harm caused by such pollution is just as scientifically demonstrable.

[August 4, 2017 Benjamin Wiker Analysis, Features 24](#)

[Print](#)



(us.fotolia.com/adimas)

Is it possible to talk about the pollution of sexuality *in the same way* that we can talk about the pollution of the air with sulfur dioxide belched out of smoke stacks or

pollution of the water through industrial waste dumped in rivers?

If we can befoul nature by violating its intrinsic order and beauty, can we do the same to human nature and, in particular, human sexuality? If intemperance and greed destroy the natural environment, do they also destroy the sexual environment? Can we measure that destruction, so that it is scientifically verifiable?

Yes. Our sexual environment is about as polluted as China's air, and the harm caused by such pollution is just as scientifically demonstrable.

Readers will forgive me, I hope, if I have to treat some rather delicate topics in what follows. Talking about the evil effects of dumping raw sewage into our streams is much less embarrassing than examining the evils of dumping the parallel equivalent of raw sewage into our sexuality. But the seriousness of contemporary sexual pollution demands some candor on my part.

There is, quite literally, a world-wide epidemic of erectile dysfunction (ED) among young men, men less than 40 years old. While it is quite natural to have problems of this sort in men over 40 (although it has been made worse by increasing obesity and the diabetes that often comes with it), a significant rise in the number of young men with ED is historically unprecedented. In one study, one in four men seeking treatment for ED was under 40 years old—setting off an alarm for clinicians. In another, a third of young men were suffering from sexual dysfunction. A study (in Italy) uncovered a doubling of ED in teenagers in just eight years (2005-2013). A study in

Canada found that over 50% of male teens reported some ED symptoms, with half of those being severe.

There are several causal factors, including increased obesity in the young, as well as the use of illegal drugs. But one cause stands out with great clarity: the world-wide epidemic of ED is made possible by the world-wide availability of internet porn coupled with obsessive masturbation. The internet is the pipeline that dumps the raw sexual sewage into our sexual environment at a level unprecedented historically.

Pause here to consider this epidemic in an illuminating way. What if the world-wide population of pigeons, white-tailed deer, or dolphins were suddenly exhibiting just this kind of sexual dysfunction, thereby severely harming its reproductive capacities? Wouldn't that be considered a kind of environmental crisis? Wouldn't we be looking for causes, and attempting to help the poor creatures from sexual self-destruction?

ED isn't the only verifiable effect of sexual pollution. The super-normal, intense nature of porn causes actual addiction, just like cocaine or any opioid, causing what researchers Jennifer Riemersma and Michael Sytsma, call "a toxic cocktail of contemporary addiction."

As scientists now understand, addiction of any kind, including sexual addiction, "hijacks" the brain's natural reward system, primarily by overworking the "pleasure" neurotransmitter dopamine. When our pleasure center is continually overstimulated, it responds by "dulling down" by producing less dopamine or eliminating dopamine receptors. That makes the addict continually look for a

stronger “fix,” spending ever more time chasing ever more unnatural sexual stimuli. This is all scientifically verifiable, as part of the larger research done into addiction of all kinds and its measurable, deleterious effects on the human brain.

This cycle of addiction leads to further destruction of our sexuality and sexual environment. The first kind of destruction is social, or rather, it strikes at the very heart of the social order: marriage. The American Academy of Matrimonial Lawyers, the top 1600 lawyers dealing with divorce, report that 56% of divorces they deal with are the result of a spouse’s obsession with porn. If human marital love is the perfection of our sexual nature, then this is decidedly destructive and unnatural result. As sociologists and psychologist attest, destroyed families are a leading source of every kind of social disorder and pathology.

Again, step back and imagine an illuminating scenario, one based on actual scientific experiments. What if we found that the mating of adult male rhesus macaques in the wild was being significantly disrupted by prankster teenagers having hung pictures of female macaques’ hindquarters from the trees, which the titillated males soon preferred to the real thing? Wouldn’t we want to catch and punish the perpetrators and restore the macaques to sexual sanity?

In addition to the social harm of addiction to porn, we have a second kind of destruction that strikes at the naturalness of sexuality itself. If biologists look at any other creature in nature that reproduces through male and female sexual union, they will assure you that—amazingly!

—sexuality is naturally designed for sexual procreation. By contrast, the cycle of sexual addiction drives human sexuality to the far limits of the *unnatural*, seeking a libidinous “fix” in *anything but* heterosexual intercourse.

Every sexual combination or variation is now available on the web, both creating and responding to the demands of the addicted: sex with any gender combination, including transgender; oral, anal, and masturbatory sex; sex with objects; sex with animals; sex involving participants wearing diapers; sex involving feces or urine; amputee sex; sex involving choking and vomiting; brutal sadism, torture, rape, and even murder; cartoon pornography and child pornography; sex with sexbots; and finally, computer generated virtual sex of any and every unnatural, and previously unimaginable kind.

Now think about this parallel as well. If any other animal exhibited this bizarre array of entirely unnatural sexual behaviors, every sane scientist would be looking for some destructive cause in the environment, some major haywiring of its DNA. But when it comes to human nature, somehow this scientific understanding of “natural” is dismissed without explanation.

We can map the downslide into the evermore unnatural in porn itself. As sociologist and researcher Dr. Natalie Purcell has shown in depressing, nauseating detail, porn movies over the last forty years have become increasingly violent and misogynist, with women being choked, suffocated, dragged by the hair, gang raped, slapped, punched, gagged through oral sex to the point of vomiting, and humiliated in other ways that I have not the

courage or the stomach to report (much of it dealing with hideously disgusting variations of anal intercourse). The women either pretend to love it, the more abusive the better, or the women are shown actually suffering in their painful humiliation as real rape victims, both of which are meant to “turn on” porn addicts seeking new highs, both of which form the brains of porn viewers to associate their own sexual satisfaction with brutal and unnatural violations of women.

All of this, we now understand, “re-wires” the brain’s pleasure system in regard to sexual desire, literally twisting natural sexual desire into ever-more unnatural and self-destructive addictions. For the sexually malformed, only the unnatural is desirable. That’s the result of what addiction scientists call “tolerance,” the above-mentioned dulling down of the brain’s pleasure circuits, and the consequent need for ever greater stimulation.

That brings us to a third, related kind of destruction: moral destruction. The “tolerance” caused by dulling down the brain’s pleasure circuits causes ever-widening sexual “tolerance” in society. As the increasingly unnatural porn spreads throughout society, affecting more and more men and women, the sexually unnatural is normalized *socially*, as in radically redefining the sexual desires and hence the sexual morality of society. With anal sex the new “high” among, and the porn-addicted getting ever younger, is it a really a shock that young teenage girls now routinely complain of being forced to “perform” accordingly? With

rape as the new turn-on, is it any wonder that young men increasingly associate sex with violence?

Perhaps adding a fourth kind of destruction might tip the scales: psychological and physical destruction. The number of porn addicted is so large, that the afflicted have started therapeutic chat sites for those trying to shake the addiction. And no wonder. Listen to the list of deleterious symptoms: along with ED, they experience irritability, fatigue, sleeplessness, trembling, inability to focus or concentrate, depression, completely deadened sexual desire for an actual person of the opposite sex, completely dead libido period, significant social awkwardness, loss of job or flunking in school, development of ever-more alarming sexual tastes, panic attacks, memory impairment, and thoughts of suicide. As the conversation among recovering porn addicts makes clear, they realized that the symptoms were porn-caused because the ill effects went away after they quit.

Now which of these symptoms doesn't count as a scientifically, medically verifiable bad effect of porn addiction? If some other cause were bringing about such awful effects, we'd declare societal "war" on the causes. But you can't declare war on malformed sexuality without calling into question the assumption of the sexual revolution that there is no such thing as malformed sexuality.

Given all that I've offered in the way of obvious, demonstrable ill effects on human nature, how could anyone deny that there is such a thing as sexual pollution? How much more destruction is needed? How much more

harm can we sustain without social collapse? And finally, at what point will the sexual revolution admit defeat, and confess that the Catholic Church was right all along about sex.



About Benjamin Wiker [15 Articles](#)

Benjamin Wiker, Ph.D. is Professor of Political Science and Senior Fellow of the Veritas Center at Franciscan University. His newest book is In [*Defense of Nature: the Catholic Unity of Environmental, Economic, and Moral Ecology*](#) . His website is www.benjaminwiker.com.