NEPOTISM & PEDERASTY INFECT AND CORRUPT THE CHURCH

I studied theology 4 years in the seminary, earned an M.A. in Theology, taught, guided and sanctified the people of God for 25 years according to the classic Catholic faith. My newly appointed bishop told me that my teachings were not Catholic, and that I was not to compose thoughts for my church bulletin. Why would he say that to me? Paul Rahe's article following my analysis has revealed to me that answer.

How did the Catholic Church founded by Jesus Christ to save the men from sin, death, and isolation for goodness, eternal life, and communion with God and one another, get to this crisis of homosexuality and distortion of her mission today? Why are we hearing a constant meme from all in authority for wages, open migration, refugees, the materially poor, gun control, abortion, women's rights, homosexual rights, divorce and remarried to receive holy communion, non Catholics admission to communion, and no need to evangelize Jews, Muslims and others who have not yet accepted Jesus as their Lord and Savior and as the Savior of the world? Where is the enthusiasm to go out to all nations baptizing them and teaching them all that Jesus taught the apostles?

This is the state of the church today. It has been building for years, yet during the time of the papacy of Francis has reached a fever pitch with a number of such men in power like never before.

Paul Rahe gives a most insightful analysis of the subtle slow infiltration of nepotism, choosing favorites, by those who have become infected with power, possessions, prestige, ambition, advancement, control, giving free reign to all their bodily passions through their narcissistic unredeemed personalities which, in my opinion, they have refused to surrender to Jesus for redemption.

Rahe's article shows how the soul of the clergy becomes corrupted to look after the body's passions and a spirit of power, possessions, and prestige in the Catholic Church so that the mission of saving souls be corrupted and a new worldly one where Jesus is only a memory takes the place of the Church founded by him.

It shows how corrupted men corrupt other men, who corrupt other men through sex, through power, through favors, through promises, through advancement, arousing ambition instead of zeal for souls.

Rahe does a very Catholic thing: he makes distinctions. In noting these distinctions, I make a few myself.

Pedophilia is the sexual disorder whereby a person has urges to have sex with pre pubescent children, usually to try to connect with their innocence which the perpetrator does not see in himself.

Ephebophila is said to be a condition where a predator seeks sexual expression with teens.

Homosexuality is the condition where a persons seeks sexual expression with someone of the same sex.

Most of the cases of underage clergy abuse were with teen males. It is coming to light that there is much clergy sexual abuse with adult males in positions of inferiority whose careers can be changed for the good or for the bad according to the clergy who uses them sexually. It is homosexual sin.

Rahe describes the grooming of young men by older men, PEDERASTY. It is an ancient practice that appears to have found its way into the Catholic Church, among the hierarchy of bishops, Cardinals, and Vatican officials.

It can begin at a young age, in the seminary, or later. It may involve actual sexual behavior. It may require acceptance, permission, and promotion in other cases, or even both of these. It results in nepotism, the favoring and advancing of those who participate, cooperate, and promote the passions, the sexual agenda, the social agenda of those with power.

Cardinal McCarrick 's pederasty has been exposed. Now the grooming of even the pope in his selection through his promotion by certain cardinals who pushed for his elevation, is being proposed. Neither the article nor I claim homosexual attraction or misbehavior on the part of Jorge Bergolio Pope Francis, but his permission for its promotion is exposed in the article.

In nepotism certain men were chosen to become bishops. Certain dioceses or Vatican offices were held for them. The nuncios in charge of investigating and proposing men for positions were ignored. Men who were not considered to be among the nuncio's best candidates vaulted to the episcopacy, to cardinals, to various dioceses and Vatican dicasteries. They are all promoting new sexual norms, earthly concerns under the guise of caring for the less fortunate, and neglecting classic adoration of the Holy and Immortal Trinity, the evangelization of the world to believe in Jesus.

It appears to me that the infection is too systemic for the body, that is the Church, to cure itself. It will need outside intervention, perhaps of civil officials to take down the racketeering style of some leaders perhaps even using RICO (Racketeer Influenced Corrupt Organizations Act) on the civil side. This in part will keep government funds from flowing Church leaders for their use.

On the spiritual side, the laity have the most power. The rich laity need to withhold the large donations. The average laity need to donate wisely to good and holy causes. Prayer, fasting, almsgiving, fidelity to Jesus are essential. Communicating of the laity's feelings to bishops, nuncios, and the pope are very powerful tools that have not yet been used as well as could be.

Archbishop Carlo Viganò has exposed it all as pederasty and nepotism.

The pederasty and nepotism have to stop now. The Church needs to be cleansed of the men who acceded to power through these evils. The distortion of the mission needs to stop. The true mission of the salvation of souls and the proclamation of the name of Jesus Christ, the one Savior of the World in whom we live, move, and have our being, the only name on earth by which man can be saved, the one mediator with God who wishes all men to be saved and come to the knowledge of the truth needs to be proclaimed.

When we call on that Holy Name, Jesus will answer. He will intervene to reform his church. Jesus, we love you. Hear our prayer. Save your Church. Bless your people

- Fr. Richard Perozich



1 RELIGION

Since his election, Pope Francis has done everything within his power to soften and subvert the church's teaching concerning human sexuality. He also packed the College of Cardinals with the Lavender Mafia.

How The Pederasty Cover-Up Will Make Civil War Within The Catholic Church

By <u>Paul Rahe</u> AUGUST 30, 2018

Sixteen years ago, reporters at The Boston Globe conducted an extensive investigation of the sexual abuse of

minors by priests in the Roman Catholic Archdiocese of Boston. Not long thereafter, reporters elsewhere detailed similar abuse in places like Los Angeles, Chicago, New Orleans, Philadelphia, and the like. The word used in the press to describe what had been going on was pedophilia, which is a misnomer deliberately employed to cover up what journalists then considered and still consider now an inconvenient aspect of the truth.

As a report commissioned by the National Review Board of the American Catholic bishops and issued in 2004 revealed, something like 81 percent of the victims were boys, and very few were, in the strictest sense, children. They were nearly all what we euphemistically call young adults. They were male adolescents on the younger side — at the age when boys as they mature can briefly be downright pretty.

What was involved was what its <u>advocates</u> call manboy love: a sexual relationship between a grown man who serves as a mentor and a boy who is under his care or simply admires or stands in awe of him. The ancient Greeks, who practiced this systematically in the classical period, called this phenomenon pederasty, and I wrote extensively about it 26 years ago in the first part of my hardback book, "Republics Ancient and Modern" (the pertinent chapter can be found in the first volume of the paperback edition).

In the course of these investigations, a number of other things came to light. First, a priest named <u>Gerald Fitzgerald</u> — who in 1947 founded a small religious order named Congregation of the Servants of the Paraclete to counsel priests who had difficulty with alcoholism, substance abuse, celibacy, and the like — had for decades been trying to alert the American bishops and officials in the Vatican (including Pope Paul VI) to the fact that priestly pederasty (which, he said, was unheard of before World War II) was a growing problem within the American Catholic Church. He had persistently tried to persuade the hierarchy to forbid the perpetrators' supervision of boys and to laicize them, all to no avail.

It also turned out that in 1984, when a scandal of this sort broke out in the diocese of Lafayette, Louisiana, a Dominican priest named Thomas P. O'Doyle — who was a canon lawyer working for the Papal Nuncio in Washington and had seen numerous reports of a similar kind cross his desk — joined with a Louisiana lawyer named F. Ray Mouton, Jr., and another priest, a psychiatrist named Michael Peterson, who directed a hospital for troubled priests and knew a great deal, to conduct an extensive investigation of clerical misconduct along these lines throughout the United States.

The report these three men produced was sent to every bishop in the country in May 1985, and then it was ignored. Bishop after bishop continued the long-standing practice of covering up the scandals that arose, of paying off the victims, eliciting from them a non-disclosure agreement, and transferring the perpetrators from one parish to another and even from one diocese to another.

Not long after the scandal first broke and the National Review Board issued its 2004 report, I was a guest at a dinner hosted by a Catholic friend, as was a highly intelligent, young local priest who, everyone knew, would someday become a bishop. By then it was evident to anyone who bothered to read the report that pederasty, not pedophilia, was the problem, and I had long known that there were seminaries in the United States that were essentially cathouses in which all of the cats were male.

When talk turned to the clerical scandal, I suggested that the fatal decision the American bishops had made in 1985 to continue covering everything up must have come from Rome. If, I argued, every diocese followed the same procedures, the bishops must have received guidance from the center.

Could it then be the case, I asked, that this was not a peculiarly American problem — that this was going on elsewhere, all over the world; that Rome was the epicenter; and that the Papal nuncio in Washington or his superiors at the Vatican were complicit? Could the colleges in Rome, established for the education of especially promising seminarians from all over the world, in effect be gay

bordellos and promotion into the hierarchy for many a young priest came at a price?

My host knew what I was talking about. He had once been a Jesuit novice, and had been expelled from the Jesuits by the provincial for complaining about the sexual misconduct going on in the novitiate all around him. What I remember most vividly, however, was the silence of the young priest at the dinner table. He had been talkative. Now he said not a word. He was even then a handsome young man, and had studied at the North American College at a time when he was no doubt even more striking. As we left, I remember saying to my wife, "He knows more than he is willing to divulge."

I do not mean to say that he was complicit. I doubt that very much. I do mean to suggest that he had received unwanted attention and knew that, if he talked about it, it would halt his clerical career.

Later, of course, it became evident that my suspicions of Rome were justified. In the intervening years, there have been scandals identical to the American scandal in Canada, Australia, Belgium, Bavaria, Ireland, Honduras, Chile, and elsewhere.

A few years ago, we also learned that a host of high-level figures in the Curia were being blackmailed by their male lovers. I am told that Pope Benedict, who had by that time contracted Parkinson's Disease, resigned his office in this connection because he knew there needed to be a purge and he feared that he did not have the physical stamina to carry it out. In his memoirs, Pope Benedict touches on the "gay lobby" and confesses to a lack of

resoluteness. As everyone understood at the time, the task of cleaning house was to be left to his successor.

In the interim between Pope Benedict's papacy and that of his successor, we received another indication of the depth of the problem. In the newspapers of Scotland, we learned that Keith Michael Patrick O'Brien, a cardinal and archbishop who was the primate of Scotland, had been buggering seminarians and young priests for years and nothing had been done in response to the complaints they had submitted to the Papal Nuncio. It was only when they went public in 2013 that the Vatican acted.

Unfortunately, however, Benedict's successor was Jorge Mario Bergoglio of Argentina, the man who calls himself Pope Francis. As a Belgian cardinal named Gottfried Daneels — who had been removed as an archbishop because he had covered up the pederasty of another Belgian cardinal and had come out in support of contraception, divorce, gay marriage, euthanasia, and abortion — revealed in his memoirs, Bergoglio's candidacy was promoted by the St. Gallen Group, a part of what Catholics call "the Lavender Mafia."

This disgraced figure stood on the balcony with Bergoglio after he was elected pope. He was chosen to say the prayer at the new pope's inauguration. And there was joy in the ranks of those inclined to break the vow of celibacy.

If you want to get a sense of what such people thought, I suggest that you read "The Vatican's Secret Life," <u>an article</u> that appeared in Vanity Fair in December 2013. It is an eye-opener. Its author, Michael Joseph Gross, is not

scandalized by what he found. He celebrates it and, tellingly, never once mentions, even under the guise of pedophilia, the propensity of prominent priests to indulge in pederasty. As Gross observes:

At the Vatican, a significant number of gay prelates and other gay clerics are in positions of great authority. They may not act as a collective but are aware of one another's existence. And they inhabit a secretive netherworld, because homosexuality is officially condemned. Though the number of gay priests in general, and specifically among the Curia in Rome, is unknown, the proportion is much higher than in the general population. Between 20 and 60 percent of all Catholic priests are gay, according to one estimate cited by Donald B. Cozzens in his well-regarded The Changing Face of the Priesthood. For gay clerics at the Vatican, one fundamental condition of their power, and of their priesthood, is silence, at least in public, about who they really are.

Clerics inhabit this silence in a variety of ways. A few keep their sexuality entirely private and adhere to the vow of celibacy. Many others quietly let themselves be known as gay to a limited degree, to some colleagues, or to some laypeople, or both; sometimes they remain celibate and sometimes they do not. A third way, perhaps the least common but certainly the most visible, involves living a double life. Occasionally such clerics are unmasked, usually by stories in the Italian press. In 2010, for the better part of a month, one straight journalist pretended to be the boyfriend of a gay man who acted as a 'honeypot' and entrapped actual gay priests in various sexual situations. (The cardinal vicar of

Rome was given the task of investigating. The priests' fates are unknown.)

There are at least a few gay cardinals, including one whose long-term partner is a well-known minister in a Protestant denomination. There is the notorious monsignor nicknamed 'Jessica,' who likes to visit a pontifical university and pass out his business card to 25-year-old novices. (Among the monsignor's pickup lines: "Do you want to see the bed of John XXIII?") There's the supposedly straight man who has a secret life as a gay prostitute in Rome and posts photographs online of the innermost corridors of the Vatican. Whether he received this privileged access from some friend or family member, or from a client, is impossible to say; to see a known rent boy in black leather on a private Vatican balcony does raise an eyebrow.

I recommend that you read the whole article. The author interviewed a great many clerics in Rome, and makes it clear that they were delighted with the choice of Bergoglio and his selection of advisers.

They had reason to be delighted. Since his election, Pope Francis has done everything within his power to soften and subvert the church's teaching concerning human sexuality. He put the Lavender Mafia in charge of the two Synods on the Family held in 2014 and 2015. They tried to push through their agenda; and, when the assembled bishops balked, they got a tongue-lashing from the pope, and he inserted in the final report without comment two paragraphs that had not received the requisite two-thirds vote.

All of this — including the machinations of the St. Gallen Group and the role Daneels played — is laid out in detail

by an English Catholic, who was in Rome during the early year of this papacy, and who writes under the pseudonym Marcantonio Colonna. The title is "The Dictator Pope: The Inside Story of the Francis Papacy."

In the last few weeks, we have received further evidence of the power of the prelate-pederasts. A grand jury convened in Pennsylvania <u>has revealed</u> that Donald Wuerl, while bishop of Pittsburgh, covered up a priest-run child-porn ring and a host of other abuse cases involving something on the order of 100 priests, using the age-old trick of pay-offs and non-disclosure agreements. This did not stop him from being named archbishop of Washington DC and of being made a cardinal — which is to say, a Prince of the Church.

He was not even high on the list of possible nominees submitted by the Papal Nuncio. Someone powerful in the Vatican wanted him promoted, and Pope Francis responded to the news of his guilt not by ordering an investigation into Wuerl's promotion, but with a dodge — by attributing collective guilt to us all.

This past weekend, the chickens finally came home to roost. We had already learned of the predatory conduct of Theodore McCarrick, Wuerl's predecessor as cardinal-archbishop of Washington. The evidence showed that he had buggered altar boys and seminarians while auxiliary bishop in New York, bishop of Metuchen in New Jersey, and Archbishop of Newark. Formal complaints had been lodged against him as the 1990s and continued to be lodged in later years, but they were ignored, and he was nonetheless promoted.

On Saturday night, Archbishop Carlo Maria Viganò, who was the papal nuncio in Washington from 2011 to 2016, released an 11-page testament, revealing that Pope Benedict had learned of McCarrick's conduct, had acted against the man in 2009 or 2010 by silencing him, prohibiting him from travel, and forbidding him to say mass in public; that in 2013 he had himself personally warned Pope Francis against McCarrick, spelling out in detail the man's misdeeds; that Francis had reversed the restrictions imposed on McCarrick by Benedict, taken him as his chief American advisor, and ignored the advice of the Papal Nuncio and accepted that of McCarrick in choosing archbishops and bishops for the United States. This includes Blaise Cupich, the cardinal-archbishop of Chicago, and Joseph Tobin, the cardinal-archbishop of Newark.

Viganò also did something on Saturday night that, as far as I know, no high-ranking prelate has done in more than six hundred years. He called on the pope to resign.

In the meantime, Monsignor Jean-Francois Lantheaume, former first counsellor at the apostolic nunciature in Washington D.C. has emerged <u>to confirm</u> that Viganò's predecessor had been instructed to confine McCarrick by Pope Benedict, that he had witnessed the confrontation with McCarrick, and that everything else that Viganò had said was true. To this, we must add that Viganò named names in the Vatican, specifying which high officials had obstructed the investigation into McCarrick's conduct.

As all of this suggests, we are now at a turning point. The Lavender Mafia controls the papacy and the Vatican overall, and Pope Francis is packing the College of Cardinals, who will elect the next pope, with sympathizers. Pope Francis and his minions have now been exposed, named, and shamed; and there will be a civil war within the Roman Catholic Church.

Either Francis leaves and his supporters and clients are purged, or the church is conceded to those who for decades have sheltered and promoted the pederasts and those who regard their abuse of minors as an indifferent matter. It is time that those bishops, archbishops, and cardinals who are innocent of such conduct stand up and force a house-cleaning. In the meantime, the laity should speak up loud and clear.

This article <u>originally appeared on Ricochet.com</u> and is reprinted with the permission of the author.

<u>Paul A. Rahe</u> holds The Charles O. Lee and Louise K. Lee Chair in the Western Heritage at Hillsdale College, where he is professor of history.